arXiv:1607.07606v1 [math.PR] 26 Jul 2016

Boundary Harnack principle for the absolute value of a one-dimensional subordinate Brownian motion killed at 0

Vanja Wagner*

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb

Abstract

We prove the Harnack inequality and boundary Harnack principle for the absolute value of a one-dimensional recurrent subordinate Brownian motion killed upon hitting 0, when 0 is regular for itself and the Laplace exponent of the subordinator satisfies certain global scaling conditions. Using the conditional gauge theorem for symmetric Hunt processes we prove that the Green function of this process killed outside of some interval (a, b) is comparable to the Green function of the corresponding killed subordinate Brownian motion. We also consider several properties of the compensated resolvent kernel h, which is harmonic for our process on $(0, \infty)$.

AMS Subject Classification: 60G51, 60J45, 60J57
Keywords: Green functions, subordinator, subordinate Brownian motion, harmonic functions, Harnack inequality, boundary Harnack principle, Feynman-Kac transform, conditional gauge theorem.

1 Introduction

Let $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a recurrent subordinate Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$ such that 0 is regular for itself with $\phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ the Laplace exponent of the corresponding subordinator. We assume that ϕ is a complete Bernstein function satisfying a certain global scaling condition **(H)**.

The goal of this paper is to establish the Harnack inequality and boundary Harnack principle for nonnegative harmonic functions of the absolute value of process X killed upon hitting $\{0\}$, denoted by $Z = (Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$. In order to do so, we show that the Green function for the killed process $Z^{(a,b)}$ on a finite interval (a, b), $a > 0$, is comparable to the Green function of $X^{(a,b)}$. We introduce a third process $Y = (Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on $(0, \infty)$, obtained from $X^{(0,\infty)}$ by creation through the Feynman-Kac transform with rate equal to the killing density $\kappa_{(0,\infty)}$, see (4.1). Process Y is called the resurrected

*This work was supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project 3526

(censored) process on $(0,\infty)$ corresponding to X. Using the conditional gauge theorems from [3] and the sharp two-sided Green function estimates (4.4) for $X^{(a,b)}$ obtained in [4] we first show that the Green functions of processes $X^{(a,b)}$ and $Y^{(a,b)}$ are comparable. In the second step we relate $Y^{(a,b)}$ and $Z^{(a,b)}$ through a Feynman-Kac transform by a discontinuous additive functional and apply the corresponding conditional gauge theorem.

We examine a function $h:\mathbb{R}\to(0,\infty)$ defined by

$$h(x)=\lim_{q\downarrow 0}(u^q(0)-u^q(x)),$$

which is harmonic for Z on $(0,\infty)$. Here u^q is the q-potential density of process X, so h is sometimes called the compensated resolvent kernel. This function is often considered in relation to the local time of Lévy processes and its properties were extensively studied in [10], [12] and [5]. By expressing the Green function of Z through function h we obtain estimates of the probability that Z does not die upon exiting the interval $(0,R)$, as well as estimates of the expected exit time of Z from the same interval in terms of h. These results can be also found in a recent paper [5], where they have been considered in a similar setting.

Using these results, as well as sharp two-sided Green function estimates for $Z^{(a,b)}$ obtained through the conditional gauge theorem, we arrive to the main results of this paper by applying standard methods from [7] and [8].

The paper is composed as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic results for a one-dimensional subordinate Brownian motion and consider several properties of function h. Applying these results, in Section 3 we prove several properties of the first exit time of Z from a finite interval $(0,R)$. In Section 4 we prove that process Z killed outside of a finite interval (a,b), $0<a<b$, can be obtained from the killed censored process $Y^{(a,b)}$ by a combination of a discontinuous and continuous Feynman-Kac transform and show that the Green functions for $X^{(a,b)}$ and $Z^{(a,b)}$ are comparable. Finally, in Section 5 we give the proof of the Harnack inequality and boundary Harnack principle for $Z^{(a,b)}$.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\phi:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ be a complete Bernstein function, $\phi\in\mathcal{CBF}$, with killing term and drift zero, i.e.

$$\phi(\lambda)=\int_0^\infty(1-e^{-\lambda t})\nu(t)dt,$$

where the Lévy measure satisfies the condition $\int_0^\infty(1\wedge t)\nu(t)dt<\infty$. Note that every Bernstein function ϕ satisfies the condition

$$1\wedge\lambda\le\frac{\phi(\lambda r)}{\phi(r)}\le 1\vee\lambda,\quad \lambda,r>0. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $W = (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a 1-dimensional Brownian motion and $S = (S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ a subordinator independent of W with the Laplace exponent ϕ, that is

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda S_t}] = e^{-t\phi(\lambda)}, \quad t \geq 0,\, \lambda > 0.$$

Define a one-dimensional subordinate Brownian motion $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ by $X_t = W_{S_t}$. Then X is a Lévy process with the characteristic exponent

$$\psi(x) = \phi(x^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

and a decreasing Lévy density

$$j(x) = \int_0^\infty (4\pi s)^{-1/2} e^{\frac{-x^2}{4s}} \nu(s) ds,\, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Furthermore, we will only consider the case when 0 is regular for itself, i.e. when

$$\mathbb{P}_0(\sigma_{\{0\}} = 0) = 1,$$

where $\sigma_B = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \in B\}$ is the first hitting time of $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ for X. By [12, Lemma 3.1] this holds if and only if the Kesten-Bretagnolle condition is satisfied, that is if

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\phi(\lambda^2)} d\lambda < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

By [1, Theorem II.16] there exists a bounded and continuous density u^q of the q-resolvent

$$U^q f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t)] dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) u^q(x) dx$$

of the form

$$u^q(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} p_t(x) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x} e^{-t\phi(\lambda^2)} d\lambda\, dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\cos(\lambda x)}{q + \phi(\lambda^2)} d\lambda.$$

Since the transition density $p_t(x)$ is decreasing in x it follows that u^q is decreasing as well.

Definition 2.1 *A Borel measurable function f on $\mathbb{R}$ is harmonic on a Borel set D with respect to a Markov process X if for every bounded open set $B \subset \overline{B} \subset D$*

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_B})], \quad x \in B \tag{2.3}$$

where $\tau_B = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \notin B\}$ is the first exit time of X from B. If (2.3) holds also for D in place of B, we say that f is regular harmonic on D.

Here we assume that the expectation in (2.3) is finite, $X_\infty = \partial$, where ∂ is the so-called cemetery point and that $f(\partial) = 0$.

Define $h : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ as

$$h(x) = \lim_{q \downarrow 0} (u^q(0) - u^q(x)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos(\lambda x)}{\phi(\lambda^2)} d\lambda. \tag{2.4}$$

The function h is symmetric and since u^q is decreasing, h is increasing on $[0, \infty)$.

Let X^0 be the process X killed at 0 and $Z = (Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the absolute value of that process,

$$Z_t = \begin{cases} |X_t|, & t < \sigma_{\{0\}} \\ \partial, & t \geq \sigma_{\{0\}} \end{cases}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Since 0 is not polar, that is $\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_0 < \infty) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, X^0 is a proper subprocess of X. Also, if X is recurrent then by [12, Theorem 3.1] $\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_0 < \infty) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By [12, Theorem 1.1] h is harmonic for the process X^0 on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and since it is symmetric, it is also harmonic for Z on $(0, \infty)$. Let $G^{X^0}(x, dy)$ and $G^Z(x, dy)$ be the Green measures for X^0 and Z respectively. Note that for every $x > 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(0, \infty)$

$$G^Z(x, A) = \int_0^\infty (\mathbb{P}_x(X_t^0 \in A) + \mathbb{P}_x(-X_t^0 \in A)) dt = \int_A \left(G^{X^0}(x, y) + G^{X^0}(x, -y) \right) dy$$

and thus the Green function of Z is equal to

$$G^Z(x, y) = G^{X^0}(x, y) + G^{X^0}(x, -y). \tag{2.5}$$

Furthermore, the Green function G^{X^0} of X^0 can be represented in terms of the function h. By [1, Corrolary II.18] it follows that $\mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-q\sigma_{\{0\}}} \right] = \frac{u^q(x)}{u^q(0)}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} G^{X^0}(x, y) &= \lim_{q \downarrow 0} u_0^q(x, y) = \lim_{q \downarrow 0} \left(u^q(x, y) - \mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-q\sigma_{\{0\}}} \right] u^q(0, y) \right) \\ &\overset{(2.4)}{=} -h(y - x) + h(x) + h(y) - \kappa h(x) h(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\phi(\lambda^2)} d\lambda \right)^{-1} \in [0, \infty)$. Note that by the Chung-Fuchs type criteria for recurrence and (2.2) $\kappa = 0$ if and only if X is recurrent. It follows from (2.5) that

$$G^Z(x, y) = 2h(x) + 2h(y) - h(y - x) - h(y + x) - 2\kappa h(x) h(y), \quad x, y > 0. \tag{2.6}$$

The following lemma is implied by [5, Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.4], which establish similar bounds for G^{X^0} in terms of h.

Lemma 2.2 *For every $x, y > 0$, $G^Z(x, y) \leq 4h(x \wedge y)$. If X is recurrent then $G^Z(x, y) \geq h(x \wedge y)$, for every $x, y > 0$.*

Proof. First we show that h is a subadditive function on $\mathbb{R}$. Since h is symmetric it follows that

$$h(x)+h(y)-h(x+y)=h(-x)+h(y)-h(x+y)\geq G^{X^0}(-x,y)\geq 0.$$

By (2.6) and subadditivity of h for $0<x<y$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}G^Z(x,y)&\leq 2h(x)+2h(y)-h(y-x)-h(y+x)-2\kappa h(x)h(y)\\&\leq 2h(x)+h(x)+h(y-x)+h(-x)+h(y+x)-h(y-x)-h(y+x)=4h(x).\end{aligned}$$

Since h is increasing on $(0,\infty)$, when $\kappa=0$ it follows that

$$G^Z(x,y)\geq h(x)+h(y)-h(y-x)\geq h(x).$$

□

Throughout this paper we will assume that the complete Bernstein function ϕ satisfies the following global scaling condition

(H): There exist constants $a_1,a_2>0$ and $\frac{1}{2}<\delta_1\leq\delta_2<1$ such that

$$a_1\lambda^{\delta_1}\leq\frac{\phi(\lambda r)}{\phi(r)}\leq a_2\lambda^{\delta_2},\quad \lambda\geq 1, r>0.$$

Note that since $\delta_1>\frac{1}{2}$ the regularity condition (2.2) is satisfied and $\kappa=0$, i.e. X is recurrent and 0 is regular for itself.

We will use the following estimate of h in terms of the characteristic function ψ several times in the following chapter, see also [5, Lemma 2.14].

Lemma 2.3 *There exists a constant $c_1>1$ such that for all $x>0$*

$$c_1^{-1}\frac{1}{x\psi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}\leq h(x)\leq c_1\frac{1}{x\psi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}.$$

Proof. For every $x\in\mathbb{R}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}h(x)&\leq\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\left(\frac{\xi^2x^2}{2}\wedge 2\right)\frac{1}{\psi(\xi)}d\xi=\frac{x^2}{2\pi}\int_0^{\frac{2}{x}}\frac{\xi^2}{\phi(\xi^2)}d\xi+\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\frac{2}{x}}^\infty\frac{1}{\phi(\xi^2)}d\xi\\&\overset{(\mathbf{H})}{\leq}\frac{x^2}{2\pi}\frac{a_2}{\phi(4x^{-2})}\left(\frac{2}{x}\right)^{2\delta_2}\int_0^{\frac{2}{x}}\xi^{2-2\delta_2}d\xi+\frac{2x^{-2\delta_1}}{a_1\pi\phi\left(4x^{-2}\right)}\int_{\frac{2}{x}}^\infty\xi^{-2\delta_1}d\xi\leq\tilde{c}_1\frac{1}{x\phi\left(x^{-2}\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, denoting the Fourier transform operator by $\mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}h(x)&=\frac{1}{2\pi}(\mathcal{F}\frac{1}{\psi}(0)-\mathcal{F}\frac{1}{\psi}(x))\geq\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty\left(\frac{\xi^2x^2}{4}\wedge 1\right)\frac{1}{\psi(\xi)}d\xi=\frac{x^2}{\pi}\int_0^{\frac{2}{x}}\frac{\xi^2}{\phi(\xi^2)}d\xi+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\frac{2}{x}}^\infty\frac{1}{\phi(\xi^2)}d\xi\\&\overset{(\mathbf{H})}{\geq}\frac{x^2}{4\pi}\frac{a_1}{\phi(4x^{-2})}\left(\frac{2}{x}\right)^{2\delta_1}\int_0^{\frac{2}{x}}\xi^{2-2\delta_1}d\xi+\frac{2x^{-2\delta_2}}{a_2\pi\phi\left(4x^{-2}\right)}\int_{\frac{2}{x}}^\infty\xi^{-2\delta_2}d\xi\geq\tilde{c}_2\frac{1}{x\phi\left(x^{-2}\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

From the previous lemma and **(H)** it follows that h also satisfies a global scaling condition, i.e. there exist constants $d_1, d_2 > 0$ such that

$$d_1 \lambda^{2\delta_1 - 1} \leq \frac{h(\lambda t)}{h(t)} \leq d_2 \lambda^{2\delta_2 - 1}, \quad \forall \lambda \geq 1,\, t > 0. \tag{2.7}$$

This condition implies the following lower bound for the Green function $G^Z_{(0,R)}$ of the killed process $Z^{(0,R)}$, $R > 0$, which is obtained similarly as in [5, Lemma 4.2]. We omit the proof.

Lemma 2.4 *There exist $\lambda_1 \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\lambda_2 > 0$ such that for every $R > 0$*

$$G^Z_{(0,R)}(x, y) \geq \lambda_2 h(R),\ x, y \in (0, \lambda_1 R).$$

3 Properties of the exit time of Z from a finite interval

Denote by $\sigma_0 := \sigma_{\{0\}}$ the lifetime of Z and $\tau_{(0,R)} = \inf\{t > 0 : Z_t \notin (0, R)\}$ the first exit time of Z from $(0, R)$, $R > 0$. The following probability estimate that Z does not die upon exiting $(0, R)$ was also obtained in [5, Proposition 2.7].

Lemma 3.1 *For every $R > 0$ and $x \in (0, R)$*

$$\frac{1}{8} \frac{h(x)}{h(R)} \leq \mathbb{P}_x \left(\tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_0\right) \leq \frac{h(x)}{h(R)}.$$

Proof. First we prove the right inequality. For $\varepsilon > 0$ by harmonicity of h on $(0, \infty)$,

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[h\left(Z_{\tau_{(\varepsilon,R)}}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[h\left(Z_{\tau_{(\varepsilon,R)}}\right) : \tau_{(\varepsilon,R)} < \sigma_{\{0\}}\right].$$

Since h is continuous and $h(0) = 0$ by the dominated convergence theorem and quasi-left continuity of Z it follows that h is regular harmonic for Z on $(0, R)$,

$$h(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E}_x \left[h\left(Z_{\tau_{(\varepsilon,R)}}\right) : \tau_{(\varepsilon,R)} < \sigma_{\{0\}}\right] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[h\left(Z_{\tau_{(0,R)}}\right) : \tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_{\{0\}}\right].$$

Since h is increasing it follows that

$$h(x) = \int_R^\infty h(y) \mathbb{P}_x \left(Z_{\tau_{(0,R)}} \in dy : \tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_0\right) \geq h(R) \mathbb{P}_x \left(\tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_0\right).$$

For the other inequality, by continuity and harmonicity of the Green function $G^Z(\cdot, 2R)$ on (ε, R) and Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$h(x) \leq G^Z(x, 2R) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E}_x \left[G^Z(Z_{\tau_{(\varepsilon,R)}}, 2R) \right] = \int_R^\infty G^Z(z, 2R) \mathbb{P}_x(Z_{\tau_{(0,R)}} \in dz)$$
$$\leq 4h(2R)\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_0) \overset{(2.1),(2.4)}{\leq} 8h(R)\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_0).$$

□

The following estimate for the tail distribution function of the lifetime of Z was proven in [5, Corollary 3.5.]. Under additional assumptions it is also possible to obtain estimates of the derivatives of the tail distribution with respect to the time component. For more detail see [6].

Lemma 3.2 *If there exist $a_1 > 0$ and $\delta_1 \in (0, 1]$ such that $\phi(\lambda t) \geq a_1 \lambda^{\delta_1} \phi(t)$ hold for all $\lambda \geq 1$ and $t > 0$, then there exists a constant $c_2 = c_2(n, \phi)$ such that*

$$c_2^{-1} \frac{h(x)}{h\left(1/\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right)} \leq \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_0 > t) \leq c_2 \frac{h(x)}{h\left(1/\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right)}$$

for every $x \neq 0$ and $t > 0$ such that $t\psi(\frac{1}{x}) \geq 1$.

Using this result we can easily derive the following estimates for the expected exit time of Z from interval $(0, R)$ in terms of the function h.

Lemma 3.3 *There exists a constant $c_3 = c_3(R, \phi) > 0$ such that*

(i) $\quad \mathbb{E}_x \left[\tau_{(0,R)} \right] \leq 4Rh(x), \quad 0 < x < R$

(ii) $\quad \mathbb{E}_x \left[\tau_{(0,R)} \right] \geq c_3 h(x), \quad$ *for x small enough.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.2

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\tau_{(0,R)} \right] = \int_0^R G^Z_{(0,R)}(x, y) dy \leq \int_0^R 4h(x) dy = 4Rh(x)$$

(ii) For the other inequality note that for all $t > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_0 > t) = \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_0 > t, \tau_{(0,R)} \geq \sigma_0) + \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_0 > t, \tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_0)$$
$$\leq \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(0,R)} > t) + \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_0) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_x \left[\tau_{(0,R)} \right]}{t} + \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_0),$$

where the last term follows from Markov's inequality. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma2.3, if $t\psi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) > 1$ there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_1 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\tau_{(0,R)} \right] \geq t \left(\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_0 > t) - \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(0,R)} < \sigma_0) \right) \geq c_1 t \frac{h(x)}{h\left(1/\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right)} - t\frac{h(x)}{h(R)}$$
$$\geq \left(\frac{c_1 \tilde{c}_1}{\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} - \frac{t}{h(R)} \right) h(x) = f_R(t) h(x). \tag{3.1}$$

Note that by **(H)** there exists a constants $\tilde{c}_2 > 0$ such that for all $t \leq 1$

$$f_R(t) \geq c_2 \psi^{-1}(1) t^{\frac{-1}{2\delta_1}} - \frac{t}{h(R)},$$

so there exists $t_0 = t_0(\phi, R) \in (0,1)$ such that $f_R(t) > 0$ for all $t < t_0$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\tau_{(0,R)}] \geq f_R(t_0) h(x), \text{ for all } x < \frac{1}{\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{t_0})}.$$

□

4 Green function estimates for $Z^{(a,b)}$

Let $X^{(a,b)}$ and $Z^{(a,b)}$ be processes X and Z killed outside of interval (a,b), $0 < a < b$. In this section we obtain sharp bounds on the Green function $G^Z_{(a,b)}$ by comparing it to the Green function of $X^{(a,b)}$.

Let Y be the process obtained from $X^{(0,\infty)}$ through the Feynman-Kac transform with respect to the positive continuous additive functional A_κ with potential $\kappa_{(0,\infty)}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^0 j(|x-y|)dy$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}_x[f(Y_t)] = \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{A_\kappa(t)} f(X_t^{(0,\infty)})\right] = \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{\int_0^t \kappa_{(0,\infty)}(X_s^{(0,\infty)})ds} f(X_t^{(0,\infty)})\right] \tag{4.1}$$

for every bounded Borel function f on $(0,\infty)$. We call Y the resurrected (censored) process on $(0,\infty)$ corresponding to X, see [2] for a study of the censored process corresponding to a symmetric α-stable Lévy process, $\alpha \in (0,2)$.

From the representation of Beurling-Deny and LeJan, the jumping measure associated with the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^Z, \mathcal{F}^Z)$ corresponding to the process Z has a density equal to

$$i(x,y) = j(|x-y|) + j(|x+y|).$$

The Dirichlet forms corresponding to the processes $X^{(a,b)}$, $Y^{(a,b)}$ and $Z^{(a,b)}$ are therefore equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^{X^{(a,b)}}(u,u) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_a^b \int_a^b (u(x)-u(y))^2 j(|x-y|)dydx + \int_a^b u(x)^2 \kappa_1(x)dx \\
\mathcal{E}^{Y^{(a,b)}}(u,u) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_a^b \int_a^b (u(x)-u(y))^2 j(|x-y|)dydx + \int_a^b u(x)^2 \kappa_2(x)dx \\
\mathcal{E}^{Z^{(a,b)}}(u,u) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_a^b \int_a^b (u(x)-u(y))^2 i(x,y)dydx + \int_a^b u(x)^2 \kappa_3(x)dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where the killing densities κ_1, κ_2 and κ_3 are of the form

$$\kappa_1(x) = \int_{(a,b)^c} j(|x-y|)dy, \quad \kappa_2(x) = \int_{(0,\infty)\setminus(a,b)} j(|x-y|)dy, \quad \kappa_3(x) = \int_{(0,\infty)\setminus(a,b)} i(x,y)dy.$$

Note that $Y^{(a,b)}$ can be obtained from $X^{(a,b)}$ by creation through the Feynman-Kac transform at rate $\kappa_{(0,\infty)}$. Therefore, by [3, Lemma 3.4] we can relate the Green functions of processes $X^{(a,b)}$ and $Y^{(a,b)}$ through a conditional gauge function $u_1(x,y) = \mathbb{E}_x^y \left[e^{A_\kappa(\zeta^X_{(a,b)})} \right]$ by

$$G^Y_{(a,b)}(x,y) = u_1(x,y) G^X_{(a,b)}(x,y).$$

Here $\zeta^X_{(a,b)} = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \notin (a,b)\}$ is the lifetime of $X^{(a,b)}$ and $\mathbb{P}^y_x$ denotes the probability measure of the $G^X_{(a,b)}(\cdot, y)$-conditioned process starting from x, i.e. the process with transition probability

$$p^y_t(x,z) = \frac{G^X_{(a,b)}(z,y)}{G^X_{(a,b)}(x,y)} p_t^{X^{(a,b)}}(x,z). \tag{4.3}$$

Next we recall the definition of the Kato class S_∞ from [3].

Definition 4.1 *Let X be a transient Hunt process with the Green function G. A nonnegative Borel function κ is said to be of the Kato class $S_\infty(X)$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a Borel set K of finite measure and a constant $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{x,z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{K^c \cup B} \frac{G^X(x,y) G^X(y,z)}{G^X(x,z)} \kappa(y) dy < \varepsilon$$

for all measurable sets $B \subset K$ such that $\lambda(B) < \delta$.

By the conditional gauge theorem [3, Theorem 3.3] if $\kappa_{(0,\infty)} \in S_\infty(X^{(a,b)})$ the conditional gauge function u_1 is bounded between two positive numbers. The key ingredient in showing $\kappa_{(0,\infty)} \in S_\infty(X^{(a,b)})$ is the following Green function estimate for $G^X_{(a,b)}$ from [4, Corollary 7.4 (ii)]. Let $\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{\phi(x^{-2})}$, $\delta(x) := \operatorname{dist}(x, (a,b)^c)$ and $a(x,y) := \Phi(\delta(x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(\delta(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. There exists a constant $c_4 > 1$ such that for every $x, y \in (a,b)$

$$c_4^{-1} \left(\frac{a(x,y)}{\Phi^{-1}(a(x,y))} \wedge \frac{a(x,y)}{|x-y|} \right) \le G^X_{(a,b)}(x,y) \le c_4 \left(\frac{a(x,y)}{\Phi^{-1}(a(x,y))} \wedge \frac{a(x,y)}{|x-y|} \right). \tag{4.4}$$

Also, **(H)** implies that Φ^{-1} satisfies the following scaling condition: there exists a constant $c_5 > 1$ such that for all $0 < r \le R < \infty$

$$c_5^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{1/(2\delta_1)} \le \frac{\Phi^{-1}(r)}{\Phi^{-1}(R)} \le c_5 \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{1/(2\delta_2)}. \tag{4.5}$$

Theorem 4.2 *Let X be a recurrent subordinate Brownian motion with Laplace exponent of the subordinator $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ satisfying* **(H)** *with $\delta_1 > \frac{1}{2}$. Then the function $\kappa_{(0,\infty)}$ is in Kato class $S_\infty(X^{(a,b)})$. Therefore, the pair $(X^{(a,b)}, \kappa_{(0,\infty)})$ is conditionally gaugeable and consequently the Green functions $G^X_{(a,b)}$ and $G^Y_{(a,b)}$ are comparable.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From (4.4) we get the following 3G inequality,

$$A(x,y,z) := \frac{G^X_{(a,b)}(x,y)G^X_{(a,b)}(y,z)}{G^X_{(a,b)}(x,z)} \leq \frac{\tilde{c}_1\Phi(\delta(y))(|x-z| \vee \Phi^{-1}(a(x,z)))}{(|x-y| \vee \Phi^{-1}(a(x,y)))(|y-z| \vee \Phi^{-1}(a(y,z)))} \tag{4.6}$$

for some $\tilde{c}_1 > 0$. First note that by (2.1) and (4.5) if $\delta(y) \leq 2\delta(x)$ then

$$\Phi^{-1}(a(x,y)) \geq \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\Phi\left(\delta(y)\right)^{1/2}\Phi(\delta(y))^{1/2}\right) \geq c_5^{-1}2^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}}\delta(y).$$

Since $\delta(y) \leq 2(\delta(x) \vee |x-y|)$ it follows that $|x-y| \vee \Phi^{-1}(a(x,y)) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} \wedge c_5^{-1}2^{-1/\delta_1}\right)\delta(y)$. Combining this inequality with (4.6) we arrive to $A(x,y,z) \leq \tilde{c}_1\left(4 \vee c_5^2 2^{2/\delta_1}\right)(b-a)\frac{\Phi(\delta(y))}{\delta(y)^2}$. Next, let $\tilde{c}_2 = \tilde{c}_1\left(4 \vee c_5^2 2^{2/\delta_1}\right)(b-a)$ and $A = [a, a+\eta] \cup [b-\eta, b]$ for some $0 < \eta < (b-a) \wedge 1$. It follows that

$$\sup_{x,z\in(a,b)} \int_A A(x,y,z)dy \leq 2\tilde{c}_2 \int_0^\eta \frac{\Phi(s)}{s^2}ds \overset{(\mathbf{H})}{\leq} \frac{2\tilde{c}_2}{a_1\phi(1)} \int_0^\eta \frac{s^{2\delta_1}}{s^2}ds = \tilde{c}_3\eta^{2\delta_1-1}.$$

Therefore, by choosing η small enough and $K := [a+\eta, b-\eta]$ we get to $\sup_{x,z\in(a,b)} \int_{K^c} A(x,y,z)dy < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. The function $s \mapsto \frac{\Phi(s)}{s^2}$ is continuous on $[\eta, \frac{b-a}{2}]$ so there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\sup_{x,z\in(a,b)} \int_B A(x,y,z)dy < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $B \subset K$ such that $\lambda(B) < \delta := \frac{\varepsilon}{2\tilde{c}_2 M}$. Since $\kappa_{(0,\infty)}$ is bounded on (a,b), this is enough to conclude that $\kappa_{(0,\infty)} \in S_\infty(X^{(a,b)})$. □

Next, we associate the Green functions for processes $Y^{(a,b)}$ and $Z^{(a,b)}$. Since

$$\mathcal{E}^{Z^{(a,b)}}(u,u) = \mathcal{E}^{Y^{(a,b)}}(u,u) + \int_a^b \int_a^b (u(x)-u(y))^2 F(x,y)j(|x-y|)dydx + \int_a^b u(x)^2 q(x)dx,$$

where $F(x,y) = \frac{j(|x+y|)}{j(|x-y|)}$ and $q = \kappa_3 - \kappa_2$, $Z^{(a,b)}$ can be obtained from $Y^{(a,b)}$ through the Feynman-Kac transform driven by a discontinuous additive functional

$$A_{q+F}(t) = \int_0^t q(Y_s^{(a,b)})ds + \sum_{s\leq t} F(Y_{s-}^{(a,b)}, Y_s^{(a,b)}). \tag{4.7}$$

By [3, Lemma 3.9] the ratio of Green functions $G^Z_{(a,b)}(x,y)$ and $G^Y_{(a,b)}(x,y)$ is equal to the gauge function $u_2(x,y) = \mathbb{E}^y_x\left[e^{A_{q+F}(\zeta^Y_{(a,b)})}\right]$ and $\zeta^Y_{(a,b)} = \inf\{t > 0 : Y_t \notin (a,b)\}$ is the lifetime of $Y^{(a,b)}$ and $\mathbb{P}^y_x$ is the probability measure of the $G^Y_{(a,b)}(\cdot,y)$-conditioned process starting from x, see (4.3). We recall the definition of the Kato class A_∞.

Definition 4.3 *Let X be a transient Hunt process with values in $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with Green function G and Lévy system (J,H), where $H_s \equiv s$. A bounded nonnegative function F on $E \times E$ vanishing*

on the diagonal is said to be in the Kato class $A_\infty(X)$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a Borel subset K of finite measure and a constant $\delta > 0$ such that for every set $A = (K \times K)^c \cup (B \times E) \cup (E \times B)$

$$\sup_{x,w \in E} \int_A \frac{G(x,y)G(z,w)}{G(x,w)} F(y,z) J(x,dy)dz < \varepsilon,$$

where $B \subset K$ is a measurable set such that $\int_B \left(\int_E F(x,y)J(x,dy) \right) dx < \delta$.

By [3, Theorem 3.8] the conditional gauge function u_2 is bounded between two positive constants when $q \in S_\infty(Y^{(a,b)})$ and $F \in A_\infty(Y^{(a,b)})$. This is shown by using (4.4) similarly as in Theorem 4.2, so we omit the proof.

Theorem 4.4 *Let the assumptions from Theorem 4.2 hold and A_{q+F} be the discontinuous additive functional for $Y^{(a,b)}$ from (4.7). Then $q \in S_\infty(Y^{(a,b)})$ and $F \in A_\infty(Y^{(a,b)})$ and consequently the Green functions of the processes $Y^{(a,b)}$ and $Z^{(a,b)}$ are comparable.*

5 Boundary Harnack principle for Z

The exit distribution of $Z_{\tau_{(a,b)}}$ starting from x is equal to

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left(Z_{\tau_{(a,b)}} \in B \right) = \int_B K^Z_{(a,b)}(x,z)dz, \quad x \in (a,b),\ B \in \mathcal{B}((0,\infty) \setminus [a,b]),$$

where $K^Z_{(a,b)}$ is the Poisson kernel of $Z^{(a,b)}$ given by

$$K^Z_{(a,b)}(x,z) = \int_a^b G^Z_{(a,b)}(x,y) i(y,z) dy, \quad x \in (a,b),\ z \in (0,\infty) \setminus [a,b].$$

Recall that the process Z can exit the interval (a,b) only by jumping out, since by [11, Theorem 1]

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left(X_{\tau_{(a_1,a_2)}} = a_i \right) = 0, \quad i = 1,2$$

for all $x \in (a_1, a_2) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Using the results from the previous sections we can similarly as in [7, Section 4] prove the Harnack inequality and boundary Harnack principle for nonnegative harmonic functions of process $Z^{(a,b)}$.

Theorem 5.1 Harnack inequality
Let $R > 0$ and $a \in (0,1)$. There exists a constant $c_6 = c_6(R,a,\phi) > 0$ such that for all $r \in (0,R)$ and every nonnegative function u on $\mathbb{R}$ which is harmonic with respect to Z in $(0,3r)$,

$$u(x) \le c_6 u(y), \text{ for all } x,y \in (ar, (3-a)r).$$

Proof. Let $b_1 = ar/2$, $b_2 = ar$, $b_3 = (3-a)r$ and $b_4 = (3-a/2)r$. By Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.4 and (4.4) the exists a $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_1(\phi, R) > 1$ such that

$$\tilde{c}_1^{-1} \frac{a(x_i, y)}{\Phi^{-1}(a(x_i, y)) \vee |x - y|} \leq G^Z_{(b_1,b_4)}(x_i, y) \leq \tilde{c}_1 \frac{a(x_i, y)}{\Phi^{-1}(a(x_i, y)) \vee |x - y|}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in (b_2, b_3)$ and $y \in (b_1, b_4)$. Furthermore, note that

$$\frac{ar}{2} \leq \delta(x_i) \leq \frac{(3-a)r}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(y) \leq \frac{ar}{4} \Rightarrow |x_i - y| \geq \frac{ar}{4}.$$

Therefore $\Phi^{-1}(a(x_i, y)) \vee |x_i - y|$ is comparable to r, so by **(H)** and (4.5) there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_2 = \tilde{c}_2(R, a, \phi) > 0$ such that

$$G^Z_{(b_1,b_4)}(x_1, y) \leq \tilde{c}_2 G^Z_{(b_1,b_4)}(x_1, y)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in (b_2, b_3)$ and $y \in (b_1, b_4)$. Consequently, we have

$$K^Z_{(b_1,b_4)}(x_1, z) \leq \tilde{c}_2 K^Z_{(b_1,b_4)}(x_2, z)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in (b_2, b_3)$, $z \in [b_1, b_4]^c$. It follows that for all $x_1, x_2 \in (ar, (3-a)r)$

$$u(x_1) = \mathbb{E}_{x_1}\left[u\left(X_{\tau_{(b_1,b_4)}}\right)\right] = \int_{(b_1,b_4)^c} u(z) K^Z_{(b_1,b_4)}(x_1, z) \leq \tilde{c}_2 \int_{(b_1,b_4)^c} u(z) K^Z_{(b_1,b_4)}(x_2, z) = \tilde{c}_2 u(x_2).$$

□

Theorem 5.2 Boundary Harnack principle
Let $R > 0$. There exists a constant $c_7 = c_7(R, \phi) > 0$ such that for all $r \in (0, R)$, and every nonnegative function u which is harmonic for Z in $(0, 3r)$ and continuously vanishes at 0 it holds that

$$\frac{u(x)}{u(y)} \leq c_7 \frac{h(x)}{h(y)}, \quad x, y \in (0, \lambda_1 r),$$

where λ_1 is the constant from Lemma 2.4.

Proof. Let $x \in (0, \lambda_1 r)$. Since u is harmonic in $(0, 3r)$ and vanishes continuously at 0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) =& \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E}_x\left[u\left(Z_{\tau_{(\varepsilon,r)}}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_x\left[u\left(Z_{\tau_{(0,r)}}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_x\left[u\left(Z_{\tau_{(0,r)}}\right) : Z_{\tau_{(0,r)}} \in (r, 2r)\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_x\left[u\left(Z_{\tau_{(0,r)}}\right) : Z_{\tau_{(0,r)}} \geq 2r\right] =: u_1(x) + u_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

First note that

$$\frac{u(x)}{u(\lambda_1 r)} \leq \frac{u_1(x)}{u(\lambda_1 r)} + \frac{u_2(x)}{u_2(\lambda_1 r)}$$

and we estimate each term separately. By the previous Harnack inequality for $a = \frac{\lambda_1}{2}$ and Lemma 3.1 there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_1(R, \phi) > 0$ such that

$$u_1(x) \leq \tilde{c}_1 \mathbb{E}_x \left[u\left(\lambda_1 r\right) : Z_{\tau_{(0,r)}} \in (r, 2r) \right] \leq \tilde{c}_1 u(\lambda_1 r) \mathbb{P}_x \left(\tau_{(0,r)} < \tau \right) \leq \tilde{c}_1 u(\lambda_1 r) \frac{h(x)}{h(\lambda_1 r)}.$$

For the second term, since the Lévy density j of X is decreasing it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(x) &= \int_0^r \int_{2r}^\infty u(z) G^Z_{(0,r)}(x, y) i(y, z) dz dy \leq \int_0^r G^Z_{(0,r)}(x, y) dy \int_{2r}^\infty u(z)(j(z - r) + j(z)) dz \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x[\tau_{(0,r)}] \int_{2r}^\infty u(z)(j(z - r) + j(z)) dz \leq 4r h(x) \int_{2r}^\infty u(z)(j(z - r) + j(z)) dz \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from Lemma 3.3. By [9, Theorem 3.4] there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_2 = \tilde{c}_2(\phi) > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{c}_2^{-1} \frac{\phi(z^{-2})}{z} \leq j(z) \leq \tilde{c}_2 \frac{\phi(z^{-2})}{z}, \quad z > 0,$$

so by (2.1) it follows that $j(z - r) \leq \tilde{c}_2 2^3 j(z)$ when $z \geq 2r$ and therefore

$$u_2(x) \leq \tilde{4} c_2^2 (2^3 + 1) r h(x) \int_{2r}^\infty u(z) j(z) dz.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4

$$u_2(x) \geq \int_0^{\lambda_1 r} G^Z_{(0,r)}(x, y) dy \int_{2r}^\infty u(z)(j(z) + j(z + r)) dz \geq \lambda_2 h(\lambda_1 r) \lambda_1 r \int_{2r}^\infty u(z) j(z) dz.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\frac{u(x)}{u(\lambda_1 r)} \leq \left(\tilde{c}_1 + \frac{4\tilde{c}_2(1 + 2^3)}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \right) \frac{h(x)}{h(\lambda_1 r)}. \tag{5.1}$$

On the other hand, from [8, Lemma 5.1] for $p = \frac{1}{3}$ it follows that there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_3 = \tilde{c}_3(\phi, R) > 0$ such that for all $x \in (0, r)$ and $y \in (2r, 3r)$

$$\int_{2r}^y K^Z_{(0,s)}(x, y) ds \leq \int_{3r(1+1/3)/2}^y (K^X_{(-s,s)}(x, y) + K^X_{(-s,s)}(x, -y)) ds \leq \frac{3\tilde{c}_3 r}{\phi((3r)^{-2})} j(y) \overset{(2.1)}{\leq} \frac{27\tilde{c}_3 r}{\phi(r^{-2})} j(y).$$

Now by applying [8, Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3] for $U = B(0, 2r)$ and $p = \frac{1}{3}$ it follows that

$$u(x) \leq \frac{\tilde{c}_4}{\phi(r^{-2})} \int_{2r}^\infty u(y) j(y) dy$$

for some constant $\tilde{c}_4 = \tilde{c}_4(\phi) > 0$ and all $x \in (0, r)$. Furthermore by Lemma 2.2

$$u_2(x) \geq \int_0^{\lambda_1 r} G^Z_{(0,r)}(x, y) dy \int_{2r}^\infty u(z)(j(z) + j(z + r)) dz \geq \lambda_2 h(x) \lambda_1 r \int_{2r}^\infty u(z) j(z) dz.$$

By the last two displays, (2.1) and Lemma 2.3 we get the required inequality, i.e.

$$\frac{u(x)}{u(\lambda_1 r)} \geq \frac{u_2(x)}{u(\lambda_1 r)} \geq \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2 r h(x)}{\frac{\tilde{c}_4}{\phi(r^{-2})}} \geq \tilde{c}_5 \frac{h(x)}{h(\lambda_1 r)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.2) we get the statement of the theorem. □

References

[1] J. Bertoin: *Lévy processes*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 1998.

[2] K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, Z.-Q. Chen: *Censored stable processes*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 127(2003), 89-152.

[3] Z.-Q. Chen: *Gaugeability and conditional gaugeability*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 354(2002), 4639-4678.

[4] Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, R. Song: *Dirichlet heat kernel estimates for rotationally symmetric Lévy processes*, Proc. London Math. Soc, 109(2014), 90-120.

[5] T. Grzywny, M. Ryznar: *Hitting times of points and intervals for symmetric Lévy processes*, Preprint (2015), arXiv:1501.04639.

[6] T. Juszczyszyn, M. Kwaśnicki: *Hitting times of points for symmetric Lévy processes with completely monotone jumps*, Electron. J. Probab., 20(2015), 1-24.

[7] P. Kim, R. Song, Z. Vondraček: *On the potential theory of one-dimensional subordinate Brownian motions with continuous components*, Potential Anal., 33(2010), 153-173.

[8] P. Kim, R. Song, Z. Vondraček: *Uniform boundary principle for rotationally symmetric Lévy processes in general open sets*, Science China Math., 55(2012), 2317-2333.

[9] P. Kim, R. Song, Z. Vondraček: *Global uniform boundary Harnack principle with explicit decay rate and its application*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 24(2014), 235-267.

[10] R. Song, Z. Vondraček: *On the monotonicity of a function related to the local time of a symmetric Lévy process*, Statistics and Probability Letters, 76(2006), 1522-1528.

[11] P. Sztonyk: *On harmonic measure for Lévy processes*, Probab. Math. Statist., 20(2000), 383-390.

[12] K. Yano: *Excursions away from a regular point for one-dimensional symmetric Lévy processes without Gaussian part*, Potential Anal., 32(2010), 305-341.

Contact: wagner@math.hr